

**ROUND MOUNTAIN TOWN BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
DONALD L. SIMPSON COMMUNITY CENTER
650 CIVIC DRIVE, HADLEY SUBDIVISION
ROUND MOUNTAIN, NEVADA
rmtownadmin@gmail.com
TUESDAY, JANUARY 23, 2018 – 4:30 P.M.**

MINUTES



Members Present: James Swigart, Chair
Roger Morones, Vice Chair
Mackenzie Musselman, Clerk
Lisa Davila, Member – via telephone
Vacant, Member

Also Present: Rebecca Hansen, Administrative Supervisor

Citizens Present: Emily Hendrickson Courtney Thornton
Pearlene Nockideneh Daniel McArthur

CALL MEETING TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Jim Swigart called the meeting to order at approximately 4:33 P.M.

I'm Jim Swigart, I'm the Chairperson.

Roger Morones, I am Vice Chair.

Lisa Davila, Member.

Swigart: Via phone.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The pledge of allegiance was recited.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT (FIRST)

Swigart: Welcome everyone, at this time we'll open the meeting up for general public comment. Three-minute time limit no action will be taken by the Board until it's specifically included as an agenda item.

Emily Hendrickson: Hello, Emily Hendrickson Round Mountain Gold. I just wanted to follow up on a request that Dave brought to me after the last board meeting that he attended. It was a question about our, our health clinic that we have up on the Mine site. Who is allowed to use it according to our policy, so the Clinic is available to Round Mountain Gold Corporation employees, their immediate families and their elderly parents if they live in the area. We make an exception for some contractors as well as Round Mountain School employees. There has been much discussion regarding this but we've made the decision that this is far as we can go with the clinic at this point.

Swigart: Is that something that you can put out in the paper?

Hendrickson: Yeah, we can look in to that. Is there some general confusion in the community about it?

Morones: Who can and who can't actually use it for their own good.

Swigart: Thank you. And thank Dave for us also.

Hendrickson: Yes, I will.

Swigart: Any other public comment. We're trying to move through a quick as we can. We're not sure how long Lisa's going to have reception.

APPROVAL OF THE JANUARY 23, 2018 AGENDA

Swigart: Approval of the January 23, 2018 agenda.

Morones: So, we can, for the record Mackenzie just showed up at 4:35 pm.

Mackenzie Musselman: Sorry, I got stuck behind a haul truck.

Swigart: Okay. Lisa you can stick around or you can hang up if you want. Mackenzie just showed up.

Davila: Okay. Well I'll hang around as long as I can, if I lose reception I'll call back.

Swigart: Okay.

Morones. Okay.

Swigart: Very good thank you.

Morones: Any changes?

Rebecca Hansen: Pearl is not here so if any of these you wanted to table until she's here, we're welcome to. Otherwise, I think we can pretty much handle it.

Swigart: Pearl got a hold of me earlier, called me and said that she had some family issue she needed to take care of. So, that's why she wasn't going to be here. So, I said as long as you can put Becca in charge we're all good.

Morones: I move we approve the agenda as written, for January 23, 2018.

Davila: I second the motion.

Swigart: Okay having a motion and a second, all in favor say aye. Opposed, hearing none, motion passes.

DANIEL C. MCARTHUR TO PRESENT FINANCIAL AUDIT FOR YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2017

Swigart: Okay, next on the agenda, Daniel C. McArthur to present the financial audit for year ending June 30, 2017. Welcome sir.

Daniel C. McArthur: Good to be here with you.

Morones: Good Afternoon.

Swigart: We're on, let's get it.

McArthur: Let's get her done. So here to obviously to go through the June 30 financial statements. Lisa's on the phone, right?

Morones: Yes.

McArthur: Sorry Lisa this might be boring to you because you won't see what we're looking at. But we'll get through it here. To begin with turn to page 2 of the audit report. We should go through some things we need to cover with the audit this year. So, on page 2 there's a report titled independent auditor's report. It talks about different financial statements in here that I am required to audit now I'll just go through the very first paragraph with you and I'll promise this will be the only one that I really read. It says, "Report on the Financial Statements – I have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Town of Round Mountain as of June 30, 2017 for the year that ended it."

Davila lost service at approximately 4:38 pm.

McArthur: So, there's several different financial statements in here I'm supposed to perform an audit on; okay as you go and put the budget together there's a lot of different funds that the Town has. So, we're required to come in and examine each one of those funds and issue audit opinions on each one of them. At the bottom of the page where it talks about "Opinions" its talks about the auditor's opinion basically we have issued a clean audit opinion on the financial statements that are listed there of the Town. As part of the requirement to perform an audit we have to test for compliance with law and regulation make sure you've complied with your budgets your capital asset requirements etcetera. And that actually shows, there's a report on the very back of the report, so let me take you to that page, which is on page 72. Let me just go through that with you for a moment. Nevada Revised Statutes require that auditor's address specific areas in the audit report. There is a section in Chapter 354 but at the top of page 72 it says "Auditor's Comments" we have to comment on any statutory compliance problems this year if we come across any incident related finance. If you go to the first caption reports, its "Current Year Statute Compliance" it says the Town did not have any findings in the current year – that's a positive thing. We're supposed to talk about progress on prior year statutory compliance and the prior year there were no findings so there's nothing to comment there. The next one says "Current Year Recommendations" that means if we find anything that looks like it's being done wrong inside the finance we're supposed to bring that to your attention so that it could be corrected. And based on our examination this year that we found nothing that was significant that we needed to note so there were no findings in the current year. And the prior year, we're supposed to follow up on any prior year comments and we didn't find any issues in the prior year as well. And so basically what that means is that is a clean opinion from the point of view of NRS compliance as it relates to the Town for the year. There's one more caption there on the bottom of the page that talks about "Special Ad Valorem Capital Projects Funds" the statutes require us to comment in here if you spend any money out of that fund. In this particular year, there were no expenditures. There's also a "Capital Projects funds referred to on page 73, and same issue there, you have capital projects fund and out of that fund there were no expenditures out of that one this year. So, we didn't have to make any comment on that. And those are two different statutes that require us to do that. I want to touch on some financial issues with you for just a moment now. If you could turn to page 12 for a moment. On page 12 there is a financial statement that's called the "Statement of Net Position", this page basically has all the different funds of the Town all added together all shown on one page all consolidated. And you see there's three columns there one called Governmental Activities, one called Business-type Activities and then a Total. This just kind of gives you a snapshot of the Town's financial viability at the end of the year June 30 of '17. So, the Governmental column represents everything except for the utility operations of the Town. And the Business-type column represents

the utility operations of the Town, okay. The key issues for me is I look towards the bottom of the page where it talks about basically using the term net position or net equity, and at the end of the year it shows the net equity of all governmental funds at 5,287,000 dollars. The utility fund shows equity of \$2,337,000 dollars for a total of basically \$7,624,000 roughly. The majority of all that money sits like in buildings and things, that we're sitting in right now. All that capital equipment. There is one thing that's unusual on here that I want to point out to you on page 12 and it's in the first column that's about two-thirds of the way down on the page under liability. There's a liability there of \$890,889 dollars that's called Net Pension Liability. That's a liability that is the Town's portion of the State's retirement system that hasn't been funded yet at the State level. And there's a requirement that started two years ago, we had to start showing on your financial statement this liability even though you have no control over it. And technically by statute you're not responsible for it. There's an accounting rule that says you have to put it on the financial statement, there's an NRS that says if you make your monthly required contributions to the Public Employees Retirement system, you've met your requirement. It's a real odd thing. And I wish I didn't have to put it in here. But if I don't it doesn't follow general accepted accounting requirements. It's a liability that shows that you technically owe but you don't owe. The only way you would owe it, is if you fail to make your monthly contributions to the State and/or if the legislature decided to change the rules and they were in financial trouble. And they'd have to go to all the towns and school districts throughout the State and ask for help if they got financially in trouble. If you follow on that same line you see there's \$109,000 dollars that shows in the utility operations so the total liability the Town shares – a million bucks. But if you know the PERS system is like in the billions underfunded. And so, this is just your percentage of the entire of the percentage allocated between all the towns, counties and governments throughout the State. I wanted to point that out to you so if you looked at this later you'd say wait a minute why do we owe them a million bucks. You technically don't. The idea is, is that the accounting people out of New York thinks it's important for everyone to see what the potential liability is should the State fail to meet their obligation. Because where would they go if they failed to meet it. They'd come back on all the local governments to have that liability covered.

Morones: So, if they do fail to meet it so to speak and we do carry it. This is, is this money allocated that we would at one point possibly have to spend even though we met our monthly responsibilities.

McArthur: The only way I could see that you'd have to do this, is they'd have to change the law which the legislature has the power to do that. They'd have to change the law and do away with the statutory statement right now that if you make your payments you're not liable for this. Could it happen? It's possible. But they would have to change the law to have you then become liable. One of the reasons, you know places like back in Detroit, where they're all totally upside down, the cities are bankrupt and they're bankrupt because of pensions like this. I think that's what's caused this to be now reported nationwide on all the different financials of local governments. The reason I bring it up and I don't think you're liable because you do meet that statutory requirement you make your payments on time. It's one those catch twenty-two's I'd have to show it but technically you've met the liability requirement. So, I think it's in my mind if I had it my way I wouldn't show it because you're not legally responsible right this minute, okay. So, with that I want to skip there, I want to talk about just some of the two large funds within the Town. I want to go to page 46 and 47 and this is the general fund of the Town. Page 46 shows the assets and liabilities of the Town. Page 47 shows the revenue and expense. And this is the fund where the bulk of all activity takes place, in relation to the Town operations. And if you look on page 46 you see at the top of the page, that we end the year with \$2,054,000 in cash – that's quite frankly really healthy, you're in real strong position. If you look at last year the 2016 column we end with \$2,663,000 in cash, honestly the only reason that cash is down is because of the transfer of money from the general fund over to the utility fund to deal with the sewer pond issues and the construction there, okay. And that's why that's come down a bit but even with that coming down, this fund is really quite strong. If you look on page 47, and you look right in the middle of the page it shows you total expenditures – it shows the budget of \$1,781,000 dollars actually spent \$918,000 for 2017. Well if that's what we're spending a year, about \$900,000 dollars you got 2 plus million dollars in the bank you've got at least two years' worth of cash on hand. So, that puts you in real strong position compared to most local governments. In the State, they're trying to get some of

the governments to where they might have one-month worth of cash on hand. But you're one of the unique governments that is in a very strong position. And of course, the big reason for that is, is that the net proceeds that comes from the mining operation here, now it can be volatile – up and down each year but when there's real good years. It really has a positive impact on your budget here and everyone has been really good at really controlling expenditures here, with the general fund of the Town. The other fund that to me is also significant, well I guess, let's go to page 51 – 52. 51 and 52 is the road department. The Town receives gas tax money, to about, depending on the year, between 45 and 55 thousand dollars a year. And the Town has made a decision to keep their gas tax money here and maintain your own roads. Some of the other town's in the County they choose to just take their money and give it to the County road fund. And hope the County road fund is going to come and maintain the roads. But here you've chosen to keep that money and you have a good fund balance here. You got \$360,000 dollars roughly in fund balance to help maintain and do maintenance on the roads. I'd like to go page 54 now for a minute.

Morones: Can I ask question on that real quick. You made a mention that other towns have decided to give back to the County. So that's one thing that we're entertaining it's probably something we may end up deciding today if everything's right. What are the implications or how bad is it if we actually decide okay we're going to let the County do it. This will now be turned over, this full account to the County to get us a good start on our roads. Is that an issue for us when we move forward?

McArthur: It's not an issue. Most of the, all town's you're the one exception. All the other towns, do just – made an agreement with the County road departments that we're going to give you our money each year. And with that money then you promise to maintain our roads. The downside I see for you, okay, is that if you're going to turn the money over to them. I think it's really important if you can that, because you're going to be turning over a lot of money, okay. Because you frankly get more gas tax than some of the other towns do, all right. And if you're going to turn over to them \$364,000 dollars, I would look for a commitment from them as to how we're going to use the \$364,000 dollars on your roads. So, that money just doesn't get lost and buried inside the County's road fund and then you don't get use of your \$364,000 dollars. Once there, to me, once that numbers been dealt with and they're going say well we're going to use it for this this and this. From that point forward, if they're going to get your \$45 to \$50 thousand dollars a year then that point I personally would be as concerned about them utilizing that money properly. It's what you've accumulated to date I'd hate to see get comingled with everything else and then you don't get your bang for your buck on that \$360 grand you're giving them.

Morones: Are we okay to forward, moving forward give them the future gas taxes and hold this fund for ourselves? Is that a possibility? Or once we, when we do you know, when we decide to turn it over – we have to give them this money as well.

McArthur: Oh, I think you can just turn over to them the annual revenue and keep this money for yourself if you chose.

Morones: Keep this money? Okay.

Swigart: Yeah, I think it's the best way to go about it. I mean.

Morones: Well we'll discuss that later cause remember we talked about good faith – it's three hundred sixty to start on our roads now but we need that commitment from them. Okay, thank you.

McArthur: You've got some real good money to work with there. Some of them are only bringing in only like seventeen thousand a year and you can't do much with seventeen thousand.

Morones: Hear that everybody? Keep buying your gas in Hadley please. Thanks.

McArthur: Okay, I want to talk about the utility fund for just a minute and it actually shows on page 53 and 54. And I really wanted to point out to you on page 53, I just want you to be able to see the cost that have been put into the sewer pond. If you look on page 53 from top of the page about a third of the way down you see a small caption that say Capital Assets and it says Construction In Progress and below that it says Equipment. And so basically as you look at this, this shows that you started the sewer project a year ago in 2016. And it shows the progress – the construction cost of \$1,326,000 dollars and you see the '17 column there's a dash there because the project didn't complete. And so, between 2016 and the increase in the equipment in 2017 to the \$3,102,000 dollars you can see the money that was put into the pond and the aeration system etcetera – just so you can see where that sits on here. Then below that says Accumulated Depreciation, now that's a number that will start to increase each year because of the investment that went into the pond. Then if you look on page 54 it shows, the revenue and expense for the year and when you look at this. And this is mainly driven by the fact that you chose to self-fund this rather than going into debt for this. If there had been debt involved you'd see an enormous depreciation, I mean interest expense on here. But if you look on page 54 it shows revenues of \$287,000 for '17 and in '16 \$281,000 so it's up just a bit. And operating expenditures for 2017 are budgeted for \$425,000 but they came in at far less than that at \$221,000. And you look at the prior years at \$232,000 and from my perspective that's not much of a change. Ten thousand dollars from one year to the next, I really don't have any significant issue with that. Those expenses, they seem pretty consistent to me from year to year. So, if I were looking at this as a for profit business I'd look under operating income loss right in the middle of the page. It's the operating income for the year is at \$65,000 dollars, last year was at \$48,000 dollars – the only I can see that might change, even though you're a lot closer to it than I am, is under operating expenses you see a depreciation line item. If you look at that you can see it was budgeted at \$60,000 dollars for '17 but it came in at \$37,000 thousand. I think for all the future years that's going to be much closer to \$60,000 thousand, we're going to start depreciating a significant portion of the costs that were put in for this new pond. Which would make that number go up directly, okay? But it still looks strong to me. There's one other page I just want to touch on and really, I want to touch on this just so there isn't any misunderstanding and that's on page 61. I want to talk about this Public Safety Sales Tax fund for a minute. So, the Town has two of them. The Town has a Public Safety Sales Tax fund that's for Fire and it has another one for Sheriff. That's the one that's for the Sheriff I want to talk about for just a minute. So, on 61 it shows that at the bottom of the page it says fund balance at the beginning of the year and end of the year. So, at the end of this year it says there's a fund balance of \$79,000 dollars in this Sheriff Public Safety Sales Tax fund, all right. If you look under expenditures it says there's a payment – it says to Nye County and the budget was for \$10,000 dollars, expenses were only \$3,802 dollars for this year though, okay. In the prior year there were no expenses for this. Nor were there expenses in the year prior to that. There's been a lot of concern, I've had a lot of concern and question about this and its proper management, okay. When I initially came in and looked at this there had been an agreement that there was going to be \$10,000 dollars spent this year. And initially all that \$10,000 dollars had been moved out of this fund and put with the Sheriff's office. But because the expenses hadn't actually hadn't been incurred to me it's still your money. It doesn't leave your fund to go over to the Sheriff's office. It stays with you until it's actually been spent. So, we made some MODA adjustments and we brought that \$10,000 dollars back. And they only had on here the expenses that were actually incurred of the \$3,802 dollars. The Sheriff has a responsibility to come before you every single year and lay down what they are going to spend the money on. And one of the requirements is, is that the money can't be used to replace what's already being spent here by the Sheriff's office. Does that make sense how I'm saying that? In other words, if the Sheriff's office has already been, if the Sheriff's office – how do I say this to you. If the Sheriff's office had some officers here and they'd been here two years ago, they can't take this money and then pay for the wages of those officers. This money has to supplement the cost of the existing officers. Does that make sense?

Swigart: Yeah.

McArthur: In other words, they can't take this money and then use it to pay for what they were already doing. It can only be used to add to what they were doing, okay. And one of the concerns in this is the Attorney General's office got in involved in this. They were asked to give an opinion on this to make sure the money was

being used properly. And so, when we came in and looked at this, may be redundant here, but I just want to try to cover this cause this has been a problem County-wide okay. So, when the Sheriff comes in and says here's the plan to spend the money, the Sheriff's supposed to tell you where the money's going to be spent, how's it going to benefit you locally here. The Sheriff also has to show you the money's not going to be used to replace something that's already existing here. It's supposed to add to it. And so, in this particular year the Sheriff came back with a plan to spend \$10,000 dollars, didn't spend the \$10,000. And the Sheriff went to each one of the towns throughout the County with these plans. And based on what we show here the \$3,802 dollars, this is based on what the Sheriff had the town board approve when she came here, okay. Or it wouldn't be on here as an expense, cause we'd have a problem with it.

Morones: Okay, that was our portion of what we had approved, correct?

Hansen: I believe that's what it came out to.

McArthur: It is. It's your portion. Because I went back to the spending plan. I said okay let me see what we're doing with this money. Initially, I just saw \$10,000 leave your account, I said well that's not good enough for me, I want to see where the money was spent. And I got into it, oh no, you didn't spend the \$10,000 okay you got to bring that \$10,000 back. This isn't just, we don't give it to you and then you can go spend it how you want. No, it has to be spent according to that plan. And the plan was very similar for several of the towns and this is your share of that, where there was going to be some specific tactical gear, etcetera that was going to be used and this is your share of that. Okay, just so you're aware going in to this because each year I think this is important to make sure that, that money is spent the way it's intended to be spent. Because I think it could be easy to slip through the cracks, and money start to be used to backfill maybe a position that they're supposed to be filling themselves, okay. And one of the ideas is, is that if they spend a \$100,000 here two years ago. They need to continue spending \$100,000 a year. And then you could start using this money, and you can use this money as long as that \$100,000 dollars still stays at least \$100,000. And if it drops below that, and that means it's a problem to start using this money. Does that make sense how I'm saying that?

Swigart: Yup.

McArthur: So, I just want to make sure that's clear. And if you have any questions on it, I want to make sure you're comfortable with it too. Because ideally, it's your money and your decision on how it's spent.

Hansen: I do have a question on it. Will this actual figure change next year on our audit because the Sheriff did mention that they weren't able to purchase some of the things because of time? So, will they still use 2017 money for?

McArthur: Because, well, that's a very good question. That's an excellent question.

Hansen: Since it was approved.

McArthur: So, she came and she got a plan approved. And as I read the law, the statute to me, she's supposed to bring a plan to you every year, okay. Now she might be interpreting the fact that I got this plan approved – I think she got approved in like March towards the end of the year April?

Hansen: Yeah, they said they ran into some problems with –

McArthur: So, she might think because well I got it approved I don't have to come back the next year and re-up it. My interpretation as I'm talking as an auditor not as an attorney right now, legal person. I think she has to come back every year the way I read it.

Morones: Spend it within the year and if not, it goes back in the fund and then ask again.

McArthur: Because the way budgets work, is that at the end of the year all the budgets terminate and lapse you have to start all over again. So, I believe she has to come back. She has to come back to you every single year and say here's my plan for this year. Does that make sense?

Swigart: Yeah, it does.

McArthur: And so, that's how I interpret this. So, I think she needs to come back and re-up this with you. And then whatever else that she wants to deal with, okay. And then on the Fire fund which is on the next page, page 63. This fund it continues to grow. This is your money. And technically you're supposed to put your own plan together to spend this money. It doesn't have to go through the County or anybody else. It's just your decision. And the only, and so you kind of have to follow the same rule that the Sheriff's office has to follow. But that is, that goes back to page – to how much you spent here locally that's on Fire. So, your benchmark shows up on page 47 and there's a line item on your budget on page 47 with the term Public Safety which is basically the fire department. And the idea is your supposed to be spending as money out of this fund as you have in the past before you start using the money in this fire fund. Okay, I'm getting the leads here a minute, but let me just touch on this with you for just a second. If you look at this it says public safety, the budget was for \$241,000 you spent \$27,000 a lot of this is because of Dan's retirement, changes have taken place there, okay. If you look on the prior year we spent \$182,000 dollars in public safety which is really the fire department. And so, the way this law reads, I guess we could do some more research on this. This either means that you got to spend \$182,000 before you can spend any of the fire money. Or it means that in the '18 year you got to at least spend more than \$27,000 dollars and then you can use the fire money. Because if you look at the Attorney General's opinion, in one page it says well, it's based on whatever the year was the law went in place, the law went in place in like 2013. So, you got to spend whatever you spent in '13. And there's another section that is, well you just got to spend at least as much money as you did in the previous year and then you can spend the money. And I know that's two different ways of interpreting it. But there's been a constant argument going on inside the DA's office over this. So, in the particular instance I personally don't think there's going to be a problem if you just spend at least more than \$27,000 dollars in '18. And then pull this money out for expenditure. I don't think the intent is to lock this money down and never have it spent.

Morones: Spend it from our general fund first that much and then pull from the fire fund after this right?

McArthur: Correct.

Morones: Okay.

Hansen: But only for items we wouldn't normally buy with general fund, correct?

McArthur: And the way it's written its more lenient with fire than it is with the Sheriff's office. So, it'd be buying, yes, you're right it'd be buying things you normally wouldn't buy – to supplement your normal fire operations. I guess you can even accumulate the money to a certain extent if you wanted to use it to eventually go buy a new apparatus of some kind, you could use it for that to.

Morones: Can we get a fire truck for \$20,000?

McArthur: It would be a lot of years of saving. So, that covers things that I felt that was really important that I talked about tonight. I appreciate you meeting with me and I think we're covered.

Swigart: Very good, thank you.

Hansen: Thank you.

Morones: Thank you, very much.

Swigart: Great job we sure appreciate it.

Morones: You never let us down.

McArthur: And I should say you know, your staff's done a great job. I know Pearl's not here to tell her that. But Rebecca's here – you can tell her. They've done a great job keeping on top of things here. Another key thing is, mail two copies tomorrow to the Department of Taxation so they don't call you.

Hansen: Again.

Morones: Are we late on that? Can we ask for forgiveness?

Swigart: So, we need action.

Morones: Yeah, I think we need to approve it. I move we approve the Financial Audit as proposed by Daniel McArthur, dated today's date, year ending June 30, 2017.

Mackenzie Musselman: I second the motion.

Swigart: We have a motion and a second, all in favor say aye, opposed? Hearing none, the audit has been approved.

Morones: Thank you, that was good information.

APPROVAL OF NOVEMBER 28, 2017 REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Swigart: Okay, moving on – Approval of November 28, 2017 regular meeting minutes.

Morones: I did not have it, wait this is November?

Hansen: November 28th is the one we tabled two weeks ago. December 12th because of time constraints and interviews, they didn't get e-mailed until yesterday.

Morones: Yeah, so December 12th I didn't get a chance, right. That was yesterday? There's no way I would've read that in San Antonio. So, the 28th yeah this is okay. I move we approve November 28, 2017 regular meeting minutes.

Musselman: I second to approve.

Swigart: Having a motion and a second, all in favor say aye, opposed? Hearing none, November 28th meeting minutes have been approved.

APPROVAL OF DECEMBER 12, 2017 REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Swigart: Approval of December 12, 2017 regular meeting minutes.

Morones: I say we table.

Swigart: Let's table that to our next meeting which is?

Morones: February something or another, 2018.

Hansen: February 13th.

Musselman: Just so you guys know I will not be here February 13th.

Hansen: Yes, you are out quite a few days.

DISCUSSION, DELIBERATION, AND POSSIBLE DECISION TO APPROVE, AMEND AND APPROVE DRAFT RESOLUTION TO RETURN FUEL TAX MONIES TO NYE COUNTY HELD IN TOWN OF ROUND MOUNTAIN'S GENERAL FUND

Swigart: Number 8, Discussion, deliberation, and possible decision to approve, amend and approve draft resolution to return fuel tax monies to Nye County held in Town of Round Mountain's general fund. I think that we should table that until our Town Manager returns. What are you guys thoughts?

Morones: Is that letter here?

Hansen: The draft resolution should be in there.

Swigart: I would like to be able to discuss it.

Morones: So, have you looked at this? Have you looked at it? So, looking at – so, we included an old resolution, right? The one done in '95 that turns the tax money over to us.

Hansen: We referenced yes.

Morones: That's the second, the only back half is what we decided, correct? Okay.

Hansen: Yeah, the 95-01 that's in the back, was the original resolution where we took it back. This would be giving it back.

Swigart: I personally think that giving back \$360,000 dollars to the County; I feel it is a good possibility it can be lost on other roads within the County. I feel that there might be some wordage or verbiage that we put in there that this currently, we made an agreement verbally, verbal agreement with – what was the one gentleman's name?

Hansen: Tim Dahl.

Swigart: That he would come out every Friday and then create an invoice for us and we would pay that. How many times has that happened? Once.

Hansen: Just the one invoice to my knowledge.

Swigart: How many times have they been out here doing roads?

Hansen: That time to my knowledge but I don't know how many times they were there during that.

Morones: Okay. And maybe even like I've read this and looked at it. Read it and looked at it and maybe I'm reading something wrong. Somebody's going have to bring me up to speed here. Now therefore the Town Board of Round Mountain hereby resolves as follows, so you're saying this is what we are going to do, correct?

Swigart: Yes.

Hansen: It is just a draft, should you choose. Yes.

Morones: No, No. Understood.

Swigart: Yeah.

Morones: Understood. That the purpose of a new fund created, we're creating another special revenue fund called the Round Mountain Road Maintenance.

Hansen: That the County would create that.

Morones: It doesn't say that! It says that we resolve that a fund be created and designated a special revenue fund Round Mountain Road Maintenance and that the resources to be used to establish that fund shall come from tax proceeds collected and allocated pursuant to NRS, which is what we're already doing, that the purpose of the fund shall be for the following purposes: recruit, employ, and equip additional road maintenance personnel to serve the Town of Round Mountain; improve and equip... nowhere does it say the County will be –

Hansen: The one doing it –

Morones: The one doing it. We are resolving that we're creating a fund that is already created?

Hansen: We'll check on the verbiage.

Morones: Yeah.

Hansen: I think it was copied –

Morones: And, and we're, we're dictating that they have to employ and equip additional round mountain personnel?

Hansen: That they could use the money for that, "shall be used for the following purposes" any of them. If they need to hire somebody new to do it. If they –

Morones: We need, we need to make sure we look at this is now in the Counties hands if we're going to do that. I mean that, that to me we're resolving that we're creating a fund that we've already created to be used to be funded by tax proceeds.

Musselman: So, should we change to wording that the Town Board of Round Mountain, Nye county does hereby resolve that Nye County shall do or Nye County will –

Morones: Here wait let me read that, that's a good point, right? So, does the comma there, now therefore the Town Board of Round Mountain comma Nye County does hereby resolve as follows. So, that's where I read that and I'm reading the back and I read that and I'm reading the back. And I'm like, I don't know here. How does it read to you?

Musselman: So, what about –

Swigart: It sounds like we're putting together a fund that's already out there.

Morones: Right, see that's what I'm saying.

Swigart: That, for the County to hire people and have people do work on our roads.

Morones: Can't, do we have help from a legal entity write this.

Hansen: It was, I don't think it was help from a legal entity. It was a copy of, we got a copy from Pahrump when they did it.

Morones: Town Board of Round Mountain, Nye County does hereby resolve the Town Board –

Musselman: So, what if we say the "Town Board of Round Mountain of Nye County" –

Morones: For Nye County –

Hansen: Or even as, on the old '95 "hereby resolve Board of County Commissioners" does this, transfers this.

Musselman: Yeah.

Morones: So, we're. We need something that just says we're now transfer can it not be easy, we're now transferring all fuel gas tax to Nye County for road maintenance within the Hadley Subdivision. I don't know. I mean I know our expectations, which is really great but there's some things that we're going need to iron out, right? So, if we give them the tax I don't want to give them the three hundred and sixty-five, because in a meeting it was brought up, a really good point and with Tim here, what if it snows in Hadley. They're not getting, it's going to be an hour and half before we get a plow out. We're still going to have to plow our roads. So, we're going to have to account for that. At some point we can't wait for the County we know how bad we'll lose the roads in the event that happens. So, that should be resolved in here. I mean an agreement needs to be signed as to how we're, so if they do road maintenance and we have to use our own crews or we have that three hundred thousand that we keep. Do we bill them? Say hey we don't mind maintaining, we had to scrape our roads, but now we are now going to bill you because the maintenance belongs to you.

Hansen: And that's what we're trying to figure out. Do we want to go with an MOU?

Swigart: And did the, we did the –

Morones: Has anybody sat with Tim? I mean has anybody. Great guy, I mean he's wide open. Has anybody sat with him and said hey, we need to iron details before we do this.

Musselman: Maybe we need to invite him to our next meeting and ask him.

Morones: Absolutely. And I would think that the details with either the Chairman and Pearl, together with Tim say these are our concerns how are we going to address them. Cause all this says here is, even if it goes into Nye County, we're not sending the fund – here take the money.

Swigart: We have captive labor right here for the Town.

Morones: Sure.

Swigart: Maintenance guys that in the past whenever it snowed they have donned the equipment.

Morones: But we owned that portion.

Swigart: Yeah –

Morones: Now we will not. See, see the difference. We own the maintenance of the roads right now so we had to.

Swigart: I know, but I'm saying we have this, but what happens to that so it would give it all to them. But what are our guys doing, what do they do?

Morones: No, no. No, no I agree. So, that's what this maintenance is. So, we keep the three. So, the three hundred sixty in my mind would be our operating cost for the roads, when we need to use that. When our guys need to plow. But to supplement that, seeing as the roads are no longer under our management, the County should be able to, bill back the County at some point and say hey we used our guys to maintain your roads here's our bill so keep that fund and put the money in there. That's how I see that happening. If not, it's a lost cause. We're going to spend that fund, three hundred thousand is going to go quickly.

Musselman: I think Jim's also concerned too, about what are they going to do now? If we don't own it anymore, are they out of a job? What else do they do?

Morones: How much time they spent on roads? They don't spend a lot of time on roads, at all.

Hansen: Not a lot of time on roads. I mean, that's just in addition to what they –

Swigart: And then we wanted, we needed to get maintenance costs for the road equipment – the sweepers, the snow plows. What annual costs are there, involved in that?

Hansen: Was it last meeting or the one before that it's in one of these ones.

Musselman: I think I saw that somewhere.

Morones: But Jim I got to believe that's null and void cause we no longer handle the maintenance. They have to sweep the roads.

Swigart: So, what happens to that equipment.

Musselman: Do they just use ours then.

Morones: We don't maintain it. They could. But again, all that needs to be, this is just saying here take it. We don't know any of that. So, we have to come up with an agreement and tell them look.

Hansen: Right, or give them a certain amount to utilize.

Morones: We have three hundred and sixty to operate from right. That'll maintain and do our stuff for the year. But if we use it, we have to be able to replenish that fund by billing back the County. If not, then the County has to do everything.

Hansen: Right.

Morones: So, there has, this is going to be a unique situation cause I don't know if any other towns have this. But we're going to have an agreement in place saying, we will bill them in the event we have to A-1 – sweep the roads using our own equipment, 2 – in heavy snow not waiting for an hour we, you know, plow our own roads. Something to that fact.

Swigart: Right, we probably have four hundred thousand dollars' worth of road equipment here.

Morones: Sure, do they commandeer that. Do they send somebody out here to use it?

Swigart: Do they come and take it?

Morones: Do they now maintain it?

Swigart: Do they maintain it?

Hansen: I don't think they can commandeer it.

Morones: Well, no, no.

Swigart: It's one of our assets.

Morones: But yeah, if its something to say hey in turn we have our equipment that you can come out and use, to not use. But again, if we just turn this over without, without asking them what are your expectations? We're screwed. This is, this is, this will kill us. I'd just assume keep our own money and move on as forward, as we're doing.

Swigart: Well, that's kind of like paying somebody twenty thousand dollars to paint your house –

Morones: I highly recommend.

Swigart. In full.

Morones: Yeah, no doubt. Here's twenty thousand, go paint it. And then you look at it, you're like huh that's awkward.

Swigart: It didn't get painted.

Morones: Yeah. We need to sit down. I think Jim, you and Pearl, and even if Becca needs to be there. You need to sit down with Tim. Nice guy. He'll sit with you and iron that out before. I think we're putting the cart before the horse cause we don't know what our implications are.

Swigart: Yeah. So –

Morones: That's my thought.

Hansen: And this was just, you know if it wasn't covering your concerns to –

Morones: Yeah, this covers nothing!

Hansen: Let us know so we can –

Morones: This covers –

Swigart: For further discussion

Morones: Yup.

Hansen: For further discussion.

Morones: All this says is that ah, we're giving them money.

Musselman: So, I think this was a concern before I was voted on. Where did the idea to give them our money come from?

Morones: It was voted in '95. It was voted before any one of us were here.

Musselman: Well, have we been talking about this for like forever. Or was this just a recent thing.

Hansen: It was probably in the last year.

Morones: To, to give it back?

Musselman: Yeah.

Morones: Within the last year.

Musselman: So, why did we decide it was even an idea. I'm just curious.

Swigart: The County pretty much told us they would not help the roads in Hadley no more. So that's kind of what started the whole thing.

Morones: So, you know how our roads went months without, we're all pissed off that who's going to pay these, who's going to do it? Well, the responsibility lied on us. So, the issue is, the County owns the road but since '95 they resolved to take the gas taxes that meant Round Mountain was responsible for the maintenance of it. Nobody knew that. Nobody. We, nobody had an idea that was even the case. So, they went to crap right? So, then we had to hire the County. So, we used our County out here. We actually had to hire them to fix our roads for us. So, we went –

Swigart: I'd rather do this, I'd rather take the three hundred and sixty thousand dollars and hire a contractor. Get the three hundred sixty dollars' worth of work done on the roads.

Morones: We can. We can. We have to decide to do that, but we certainly can. That's what the money's for.

Swigart: And then start over with the County.

Morones: I just don't. If we gave them a base and said we are going to now hire somebody at three hundred and sixty thousand, tell us what we can get, and how well you can fix our roads. And then moving forward saying County we put our roads, this is what we've done, here's your gas tax now put us on your maintenance route. We still have stuff to iron out, equipment costs. Well in the event but it may make it easier to turn over.

Swigart: Right.

Musselman: But just a section of it.

Morones: So, I don't know what the worst roads are. I don't know what it takes.

Swigart: It's like washing your car before you sell it.

Morones: Isn't that great. I make mine clean and say here look it's so pretty.

Swigart: We'll take over them roads. Okay, further discussion.

Morones: So yeah, further discussion. That's good stuff. But I think it should start with a meeting with Tim Dahl and you guys to decide the intricacies once it's handed over.

Swigart: Right.

Musselman: I motion to table this until next meeting when Pearl's back and we can talk.

Morones: I second that, but I don't think we need that.

Swigart: No, we don't have to do that.

Hansen: I'm still trying to figure out, I'm trying to find where in Roberts Rules if you actually have to motion to table.

Morones: To table, no, I think we just agree to table it. I don't think we have to move.

Swigart: Usually, if something has to be approved or rejected.

Morones: Yeah, cause it says for possible. We're not making any action on it. We're just tabling.

Swigart: Moving on.

CORRESPONDENCE, AWARDS, DEPARTMENT UPDATES, AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Resignation letter from Roger Morones dated 1/9/18.
2. Tonopah Town Board 1/24/18 meeting agenda.
3. Tonopah Library Board of Trustees 1/24/18 meeting agenda.

Hansen: I mixed up the folders so correspondence is on the bottom.

Swigart: Becca.

Hansen: All right. We have three items on our correspondence list today. The first one is a resignation letter from Roger Morones dated January 9 of 2018. The second is the –

Swigart: Let's go back to that one. Is there anyway can we amend that at this time?

Hansen: At this time? We'd need something in writing again.

Swigart: I was wondering if we can extend that.

Hansen: Can we reject it.

Morones: So, I, you tell me what the procedure is. And I will follow the procedure if you need me longer than you need me here enough to fill a position so that it helps with a quorum. You tell me what you need from me. I probably can rescind this as long as I do it before February 1st.

Hansen: Right.

Morones: So, if you want me to do that. Tomorrow I will write you a letter rescinding my resignation.

Hansen: I'll find out.

Morones: Rescinding the end date of my resignation.

Swigart: Very good. Thanks Roger.

Morones: Okay.

Hansen: Then your second one on there is the Tonopah Town Board meeting agenda for January 24th followed by the Tonopah Library Board of Trustees meeting agenda for January 24th. I have an e-mail here that Pearl sent out to everybody just to let them know that Five-Day Report as a result of the sewer issue was submitted. As far as I know everything, we've provided everything they needed. We've gone through with disinfecting and everything we needed to do. In addition to just the Five-Day Report we kind of photo journaled it.

Morones: Does it have to be inspected so that we have a clean bill of health. Or does the Report close it?

Hansen: The Report should close it. If they need anymore information they'll get back to us. I think we are waiting for a confirmation on the amount of gallons that could have spilled. And that requires the daily checks.

Swigart: And how did that happen?

Hansen: Well, it was kind of a – what happened was on that Monday – that Friday before January 5th, was our sample day the first Friday of the month. In order to take samples, the lift station has to be turned off to pull the samples. Somehow that afternoon when it went to be turned back on it didn't click over as many times and it did not turn on. So, the lift filled with the water and ended up spilling out. It was found Monday morning. There was some concern it could have been a potential alarm failure, but after investigating – the alarms were working. But because it happened on the weekend nobody noticed.

Musselman: Nobody was there.

Swigart: Was this the operator that we contract from Tonopah at five thousand dollars a month or whatever it is.

Hansen: Two thousand. It was the operator and a maintenance worker. So, they have, we have since started doing checks morning and night. Or morning and before the shift is over, as well as weekend morning and night.

Swigart: Is there a high-level alarm in that, sound or flashing lights. Or anything –

Hansen: It's an alarm I don't know about the lights. But I do know whatever the level it is, it's not loud enough for anybody to have heard over the weekend.

Musselman: Does it send any sort of communications to say hey this is going off?

Hansen: We don't have that system yet. We haven't upgraded to any type of SKADA System or it would have alerted us via electronic signal.

Swigart: And has that ever happened before in the past that you know of?

Hansen: No, Not to my knowledge. But it could've happened prior to.

Swigart: Dealing with the environmental agencies over the years and they're pretty forgiving the first time but if it happens again it becomes more and more difficult to deal with. So, I would look at possible upgrades, warning systems that go out to computers, cell phones, whatever.

Hansen: Right. And that would be the best way to go. But everything costs money. Pearl went ahead and attended the REMSA and Renown breakfast. And kind of got a little bit of an update on everything they plan on doing. Were you there?

Musselman: No, but I heard a lot about it.

Hansen: Did you, okay. So, from what I understand they're planning on doing, bringing back some services that will at least benefit the Town as far as pre-employment urinalysis, physicals. Those types of things will be available again in Tonopah.

Musselman: Does the Mine not pair with the Town to do those sort of screens anyway, do you know?

Hansen: We do but we because of the way it is and the labs, we do have to pay an out of pocket fee. Whereas, there we would be able to bill directly just through the lab. The administrative aide interviews were done and tomorrow I have someone picking up an offer. A conditional offer, so that we can follow through with background checks and all of that. Nye County is again doing the Open Meeting Law sessions. They are doing them January 22nd and January 24th I don't know if you're able to attend any of those. It was mentioned that February 21st was a good date for POOL PACT to come out here. Which you are of course gone.

Musselman: I had asked Pearl if she had been able to look into anyway that I can do this independently cause I'm the one holding everybody up. I've not heard back.

Hansen: So, it has to be done within your first year. And then all of our other board members because of that new law has to be done on even years. So, everybody is due. So, we can still do the 21st Wayne wanted to know what was a good time for everybody. Or we can push it to another day that Mackenzie is able to attend as well. Fire house is going to be closed on January 29th, they're going to be doing floor waxing. First Friday of the month February 2nd will have our water operator out here again. We posted on Facebook today that the weight room is going to be closed the whole week of February 19th. The cardio room and gym and racquetball courts will be available during the day, during business hours because that card reader will be disabled because of what's going on in the gym. Also, posted on Facebook today about the fiber optic line that is being run from the sewer plant to the sheriff's station, which is part of that Sheriff's plan to get the upgrades so that their Wi-Fi signal can work for the new cameras and body cams and 360-degree surveillance. So, Nye County is footing that bill, and to my knowledge its just to the fire station. So, you'll see NNE Construction out here.

Morones: From fire station to?

Hansen: Not fire station. The sheriff's station to the sewer plant, where an AT&T box is.

Morones: Their demarcation point is down there.

Hansen: Yeah. So, they are going to be trenching alongside the road and in front of the high –

Morones: They're going to run fiber in there for what?

Musselman: The internet –

Hansen: Fiber optic internet for the sheriff's station because our sheriff's Wi-Fi was not strong enough or good enough to implement, to put all that stuff that we approved that they were putting in their cars. They talked about it the meeting they were here.

Morones: Okay.

Hansen: What else. The annual, Round Mountain Annual Easter Egg Hunt is slated for Saturday, March 31st.

Morones: And is that starting to be posted everywhere? On the page? Get it out. It's a good event.

Hansen: It should start posting within the next couple weeks. So, yes. And in case anybody wants to have fun Easter is April Fool's Day. I think that's all we have.

Morones: Okay.

Swigart: Fire Chief?

Hansen: Fire Chief, we're starting interviewing this week. We're still trying to see because of distance what we can do or what we're willing to do for other applicants for that position as well. Water Operator I think we had one more interested that we're waiting to see if we get an application from. And then we will start scheduling interviews for that pool.

Swigart: Okay, anything else?

Hansen: No, if there is I forgot. I think we're good on that.

Swigart: Any questions from the Board for the supervisor?

Hansen: I did want to let you guys know that when I did post on Facebook I did just put utility construction so as not to cause a ruckus with a fiber optic line.

Morones: Cool.

REVIEW AND APPROVE INVOICES FOR THE TOWN OF ROUND MOUNTAIN

Swigart: Okay. Moving right along then review and approve invoices for the Town of Round Mountain.

Morones: Actually, it's not correct and you can look at number. Oh yeah, I'm looking at number eleven already which is what these Dell's are.

Hansen: Roger's getting ahead of himself.

Morones: We're talking about that next right?

Hansen: Yeah.

Morones: Cause I'm still.

Hansen: You're still head in to it?

Morones: Yeah, I'm – I don't understand.

Hansen: I just put those for comparison.

Musselman: Do I have to have somebody double check these with me or by myself?

Morones: We'll talk about it. Yeah, I don't know what I – this is, these are old?

Hansen: Yes.

Morones: These are already in?

Hansen: Correct.

Morones: And then this is a quote to be spent now?

Hansen: Correct.

Morones: That's why they didn't make any sense. I'm like they're not even the same machine.

Musselman: Do you want to help me with this?

Morones: Oh, yeah go.

Swigart: It's the 863 model not the 862 model.

Morones: I want to make some recommendations on the PC that it'll last a little bit longer and the cost is actually minimal to upgrade it.

Hansen: Okay.

Morones: What did we buy at Home Depot?

Hansen: Those would be the LED light upgrades to this area – Simpson Center.

Morones: Okay.

Musselman: We had a good discount too.

Swigart: Okay, can I have a motion to approve the invoices.

Musselman: I motion to approve the invoices.

Morones: I second that.

Swigart: Having a motion and a second all in favor say aye, opposed? Hearing none, invoices have been approved.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION TO APPROVE CAPITAL OUTLAY EXPENDITURES - COMPUTERS FOR ADMINISTRATION, MAINTENANCE, AND ROUND MOUNTAIN PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENTS

Morones: Is this quote replacing these?

Hansen: No. It would be replacing one of those. So, what I did is I basically I called Dell so, we're having some issues both RMPU and Maintenance, I believe, I couldn't even find the invoice when we paid for those computers.

Morones: Okay.

Hansen: I honestly think they're running on XP.

Morones: Okay.

Hansen: They're having some shut down issues.

Morones: Okay.

Hansen: The two in admin, we're having random shut downs, having to start back up with that lovely do you want to start windows in safe mode or normally and the occasional blue screen of death. So, what I just called Dell and said hey we got a computer from you in whenever we got this one – April; can you give me a quote for two computers that are a little on the better end because we have to run software for the utility company. And two that are just on the more basic end, because they really don't need anything, I mean they use some Excel programs some Word but they're not anything too fancy. So, what I included what we paid for the last computer we got. Here, that says approved in May. And then, I found, okay so both of these two really old ones were the last time we bought computers in admin. And the two higher end would be replacing these two. The only thing that Dell was not, did not include that we typically get from them is Adobe Acrobat Standard DC. But as of February 2nd, they are no longer able to pre-install that it has to be purchased and downloaded on your own. I had them do it on, just give me everything but we really don't need monitors or soundbars for either of those because our monitors still work fine. We don't need any keyboards, we don't need a new mouse, we just need new towers.

Morones: So, looking at this. The upgrades are, here's what I would suggest only cause it's already budgeted and you have the numbers for it. When you're talking processors and you're looking an i3 as opposed to an i5, and your cost differential between these and given the storage and everything that you need, you're only looking at a hundred fifty-dollar difference, right?

Hansen: Not even \$200.

Morones: You get all four the same. And they need to be on a two-year rotation. Get a good one that'll last you two years. You're doing windows 10 64 upgrade your memory to 8 gigabytes. Don't use 4 you'll be frustrated with 4 in a matter of six months.

Hansen: Okay.

Morones: You don't have any servers out here right?

Hansen: We have one server but that's not.

Morones: No, no. But do you store your data, how do you run your data on the server or is it per PC?

Hansen: We have one main server file that we all access.

Morones: Okay.

Hansen: And most of our information is stored there.

Morones: Okay.

Hansen: Some other information then gets stored in stuff we save on the desktop.

Morones: So, 500 gigs is a lot especially if your using, these PC's are not backed up and I'm assuming the server is.

Hansen: Yes, and we actually do have some back up. We have one back up on one of them.

Morones: If your information dies on the desktop your done. There's no recovery on it cause there's really no back up. So, you want them to work on the server as much as they possibly can.

Hansen: Okay.

Morones: 500 gigs is a lot. So, keep their, to keep them in check by doing so I'd lower that to 250, work off the server. Work off something that has reliability and a true backup, that we can pull data from in the event of a lose. But memory don't skip on, you don't want to skip on video either. And saw that you had an NVidia video card?

Hansen: And you're not an NVidia fan?

Morones: I think it was an NVidia video which was good. I wouldn't change anything other than using an Invidia card, cause they use onboard memory. And a lot of them have 400 gig. They don't have to use PC resources for that either. But I'd requote it at a 250-gig hard drive, minimum of 8 gig of ram on windows 64, and a minimum of an i5. Look at the difference between an i5 and an i7.

Hansen: So, years ago I was looking through some research, old stuff that they had when we were looking at some of these. I mean I still have to get with InHance who is our water company biller. To make sure whatever I'm doing will also be compatible with their system. But back then i5 was, oh, you know you don't really need an i7 unless you're a gamer. Are we to the point where you need an i7 now?

Morones: No, a lot of what they use, our standard builds used to be i3's and i5's. Our standards now even up there for normals is an i7. I mean, it's just the way things go. And I'm not really, it's not necessary to render your programs. It's also if you're doing web work, it renders that faster as well. i5 would be sufficient especially if you're going to be on a two-year rotation, i5 would be sufficient. If you're going really wait four years to buy another PC, you might as well do an i7 now so that you would have some longevity. Just some stuff to consider.

Hansen: Yeah, I think our newest computer was purchased in 2012.

Morones: Yeah, just again. If they're, which is great but if they're going to last that long. I mean software changes and things upgrade all the time. Look, especially if you're looking at actually doing some kind of web design. And it's approved by here. You want to be prepared for that. You don't want to have to do something that's going to have to wait. So, why come back later next year to the Board and say hey our computers are no longer sufficient and now that we want to do a website. You know you should have probably thought about that. The monies in there, go for longevity. It'll payoff in the long run.

Hansen: And we budgeted six thousand just in case. That should've been enough for every computer. So, this is coming in –

Morones: I wouldn't recommend the engineering spec that we get at the Mine cause that's a four-thousand-dollar PC for one.

Hansen: I'm thinking once we get the monitors and that stuff out, we're looking at thirty-five hundred.

Morones: The OptiPlex line is good. You can get a really good built OptiPlex if it's done right for about fourteen hundred bucks, per. It's expensive. But again, you're not having to do that next year you're not needing one in a year you may not even need one in two years. It's something that you can, it'll last. It's just my suggestion.

Swigart: So, with the recommendations that Mr. Morones, sounds like this is kind of your field. It's absolutely a different language to me. We can table it or with the recommendations Roger has made we can go forward.

Morones: Again, new quotes on an i5 and i7.

Hansen: Get some more new quotes and bring them back, okay.

Swigart: Okay so let's do that. Get the new quotes, take Rogers recommendations see where we're at. And let's table this until the next meeting.

Hansen: Okay.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT (SECOND)

Swigart: Okay, general public comment three-minute time limit again no action will be taken by the Board until it's specifically included on the agenda as an action item. Hearing no comment from the public.

ADJOURN MEETING

Morones: I move we adjourn the meeting at 5:41.

Swigart: You didn't even let her do it.

Morones: Oh, and I'm sorry I rescind my move of adjourning the meeting.

Musselman: I motion to end the meeting at 5:41.

Morones: I second.

Swigart: Having a motion and a second, all in favor say aye. Opposed? Hearing none, meeting adjourned. Thanks everyone.