

**PAHRUMP REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 14, 2018 MEETING**

Members in Attendance: Gregory T. Hafen II
Beth Lee
Gary Bennett
Leah-Ann DeAnda
Leonardo Blundo
Robert Adams

Members Absent: Derek Fowler

Planning Staff: Brett Waggoner
Steve Osborne
Joe Ehrheart
Celeste Sandoval
Qiana Medici
Amanda VanHouten
Darrell Lacy

District Attorney's Staff: Ronni Boskovich

Public Works Staff: Cody McKee

Other Attendees: Commissioner Koenig

Acronyms Used:

| | | |
|------|---|------------------------------------|
| AP | = | Assessor Parcel Number |
| ATB | = | Amargosa Town Board |
| BOCC | = | Board of County Commissioners |
| CUP | = | Conditional Use Permit |
| DA | = | Development Agreement |
| DDA | = | Deputy District Attorney |
| MH | = | Manufactured Home |
| MHP | = | Manufactured Home Park |
| NCC | = | Nye County Code |
| NRS | = | Nevada Revised Statutes |
| PRPD | = | Pahrump Regional Planning District |
| PUC | = | Public Utilities Commission |
| RE | = | Rural Estates Residential |
| RH | = | Rural Homestead Residential |
| ROW | = | Right of Way |
| RPC | = | Regional Planning Commission |
| SFR | = | Single-Family Residential |
| VR | = | Village Residential |

1. Pledge of Allegiance/Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:01 pm.

2. Public Comment (first) – No action will be taken on matters raised under public comment until the matter itself has been included on an agenda as an action item. (Three-minute time limit per person and speakers are requested to limit comments to items not on the agenda.)

Public comment opened and closed at 6:01 pm with no comments.

3. For possible action - Approval of Minutes:

a. PRPC Meeting – December 13, 2017

Celeste Sandoval stated that the DA's office has supplied some typographical errors that have been fixed but there are some issues that need to be addressed in the minutes. On page 7 paragraph 7, it should state Commissioner Hafen stated he doesn't believe staff or the board have stated they didn't like it, he believes it was a letter of opposition that was received; Paragraph 11 where it states Brett Waggoner stated "staff wouldn't have a comment on whether or not he was one" needs to be added; on page 10, paragraph 10 where it states Ms. Boskovich the second sentence should be under Louie DeCanio's public comment; and on page 11, paragraph 3 it should state Dean Brooks stated he has been here for 10 years and known the Mitchells for several years. He has been to their property and was called the other day to come out because they were being harassed and threatened with \$600 a day fines for every animal. He has heard of one person asking why they are hassling Karl and it was stated because of his race.

Commissioner Hafen stated he would like his comment made during the meeting to Mr. Brooks to please watch his language added.

Mrs. Sandoval stated yes. She was going to address his request to have that statement added to the minutes.

Commissioner Bennett motioned to approve the minutes as amended. Seconded by Commissioner Blundo.

Vote: Motion passed (**summary:** Yes = 5, No = 0, Abstain = 1).

Yes: Gary Bennett, Gregory T. Hafen II, Leah-Ann DeAnda, Leo Blundo, Robert Adams.

Abstain: Beth Lee.

Excused: Derek Fowler.

b. PRPC Meeting – January 10, 2018

Mrs. Sandoval stated the DA's office provided typographical errors and requested Marla Zlotek be removed she was removed from attendance. Commissioner Lee has also provided comments with some typographical errors that will be addressed.

Commissioner Hafen asked if any of the changes are substantial and need to be read into the record.

Mrs. Sandoval stated no they are all minor.

Commissioner Lee motioned to approve the minutes including the changes submitted to staff. Seconded by Commissioner Bennett

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 6).

Yes: Beth Lee, Gary Bennett, Gregory T. Hafen II, Leah-Ann DeAnda, Leo Blundo, Robert Adams.

Excused: Derek Fowler.

4. For possible action - Approval of / Modifications to the Agenda: **Approval of the Agenda after Considering Requests to Rearrange, Hold or Remove Items.**

Brett Waggoner stated staff would like to remove Item 8, it doesn't require an approval by the RPC, and can be done administratively.

5. **Correspondence and Announcements**

Commissioner Adams stated the Fire Department blood drive is March 16th and 17th at the Fire Department.

6. **Commission/Committee/Director Reports:**

- a. BOCC Liaison Report - BOCC Liaison – John Koenig
None.
- b. Director’s Report
Mr. Waggoner stated the total applications received at the counter through January 31st was 139, total processed 128, 41 SFR building permit issued, 14 MH permits issued. New Code complaints received 32, currently there are 132 active in the system. Updates on items that have come before the RPC CU-2017-000045 Karl Mitchell – applicant was denied by the PRPC has been appealed to the BOCC and scheduled for the 20th of February. ZC-2017-000020 MegaMotors – was recommend for approval by the PRPC, and is scheduled for the BOCC on the 20th of February.

7. **Ex Parte Communications and Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements**

None.

8. **For possible action – PM-2017-000034:** Discussion, deliberation and possible action on an application for a Merger Re-subdivision Parcel Map application (pursuant to NRS 278.4925 merger re-subdivision of land without reversion to acreage), for properties located at 6241 and 6251 N. Fitzroy Ave., Block 12, Lots 449 & 450 per F#345020. Charles Husum – Property Owner / Applicant. Nelson Surveying LLC, Boyd Nelson – Agent. Assessor Parcel Numbers 031-103-18 & 031-103-19. **(This item is Final Action by the Pahrump Regional Planning Commission unless appealed to the Board of County Commissioners, or otherwise announced.)** (QM)

Item pulled.

9. **Overview** of the procedures for Code Compliance and Dust Control enforcement in the PRPD. (AV/QM)

Amanda VanHouten stated the board was provided with the current code compliance manual. They are in the process of rewriting the manual as a whole.

Commissioner Hafen thanked Ms. VanHouten and stated he has seen the hard work that is going in and the efforts the Planning Department has been putting in with the biggest example in the community is the signs. He stated if they require anything from the board that they could help support or input they are available.

Commissioner Lee asked how it is doing code compliance under the current manual as it is adopted.

Ms. VanHouten stated enforcing the code is only as good as it is written, that is the hardest part she has. It is a solid code manual and needs to be updated.

Commissioner Lee asked how the public responds to the procedures outlined in the manual.

Ms. VanHouten stated they don’t understand why it takes so many letters or so many days. It is frustrating to them that there isn’t an immediate fix to their complaint.

Commissioner Lee stated there are some parts that are administratively cumbersome. Is this a hindrance to getting through the process and getting a resolution?

Ms. VanHouten stated yes, she is the only person in the position. She does have an office that does help her out, however the letter writing process, and report tracking gets cumbersome.

Commissioner Lee asked what the amount of voluntary compliance they have had over the years.

Ms. VanHouten stated the low 30's.

Commissioner Lee asked how many cases have been to the DA's office.

Ms. VanHouten stated she has three on board ready to go and referred to Qiana Medici for past records.

Qiana Medici stated in the past there were 3 cases sent to the BOCC and they stalled out there, then sent back to code enforcement to start the process again. Cases have been sent to the DA, they had been understaffed and if it wasn't a priority it wasn't getting done. The DA's office had other priority cases.

Commissioner Lee asked if a Hearing Officer, like they had for Air Quality in the past would be beneficial.

Mrs. Medici stated yes, it could be modified to work for Code Compliance, it has been discussed internally.

Commissioner Hafen asked if that would be something that would come back as a change to the manual or done administratively.

Ms. VanHouten stated it would be something in the manual itself. Other jurisdictions have written it into code or their manual.

Brett Waggoner stated it would probably require a code amendment, and it would be a process.

Commissioner Hafen asked if Planning is looking into additional software or equipment to help expedite the process.

Ms. VanHouten stated there has been talk about software, but we are waiting to see where the County is going with their own software.

Commissioner Hafen stated if the County changes their system it could be something that Planning could dove tail on.

Darrell Lacy stated for air quality it is a civil enforcement not criminal enforcement. It is a simpler process.

Commissioner Lee stated in the Code Compliance Manual it states we assess the violators. Have we assessed them fines for reviewing the case and how is it calculated.

Ms. VanHouten stated since December 2016 nothing has been assessed or collected.

Public comment opened at 6:21 pm.

Kathleen Mueller stated she has been communicating with them since July. There has been no remediation of dust at all, she filed a complaint and a month or two later they built a shed, the property owner is from Vegas and is using it to store construction material and supplies. They are burning construction material on the property and she has called the fire department. They have no residence there. The air quality is horrible and from her point of view nothing has been done. If the code isn't enforced what good is it. Anything that can be done to help them enforce the code is helpful.

Dwight Lily stated he has been involved in complaints for dust control. He doesn't see that the process is working. He has read through the manual and talked with the State Division on

Environmental Quality and they agree that if there is enforcement it has to be proactive. The Assistant Planning director mentioned how many building permits have been issued. A process that could be added to check through the building permits and now they know that there is a ongoing construction site and there should be a sign posted, he has never ran into one yet, even when they were working on Manse Road. We have a complete failure of the enforcement of Dust Control. There could be a hotline number that goes to the officer's cell phone that someone can call. He suggests if the manual is going to be reworked, it needs to be proactive.

Gerald Schulte stated we had a jolly green giant that stood on the edge of town. It was registered with the National Historical Society. When Loreli was in code compliance she had selective enforcement, and sent a letter to the bank stating the sign was a nuisance. The bank had the sign torn down without cause. We have someone in code compliance again that is doing selective enforcement. You can't only enforce one section and not the hundred county codes that are out there. Angela Bello isn't willing to prosecute any of these, why do we have anyone in code enforcement.

Commissioner Hafen stated Mr. Schulte is getting off topic, we are here to address the manual.

Mr. Schulte stated the red tags on signs is selective enforcement. Nye County isn't going out and abating properties that have nuisances, why are we starting with signs. It's because she can go out and cut them down easily. Let's clean up the town, let's do something that is going to make a difference. Removing signs isn't doing any good. If our DA had any teeth and willing to prosecute we could get some of this done, if they aren't willing to do it why are we enforcing anything.

Dawn Murphy stated she is all for bringing the booklet back and asked for them to simplify the dust permit. It is a lot of work and she has people that doesn't understand and it is hard for them to explain to everyone at the customer at the counter. It needs to be easier to access and turn in. She wants clarification on why she has a 1.1 acre parcel that she pulled a dust permit and they told her they wanted an additional \$50 because it went over 1 acre, that is a little ridiculous.

Public comment closed at 6:31 pm.

Commissioner Hafen stated everyone wants to expedite the process and they all understand it is only one person and that they are doing a good job. They do it case by case when they receive complaints. In regards to selective enforcement, he disagrees, they received letters stating they needed to remove signs that were on their properties. He doesn't know about other cases but he did receive those. They all want the process expedited and streamlined and an online form would be nice.

Commissioner Adams stated it takes a long time to mitigate the process. Every couple BOCC meeting there are items to bring properties to task.

Commissioner Koenig stated for clarification on some of Mr. Schulte's comments. He stated sometimes he is the front line because they call him and they complain about their neighbors not getting rid of their garbage. He will go out and take pictures and send to Amanda and then he follows up on it. There is one he has been following up on for 2 months now and there is no water or sewer. It is frustrating for everyone because it is private property and they can't always get access to the properties. It might end up in court someday. Unless we can prove it is a health and safety issue there isn't much we can do about it except ask for compliance. After three letters it is processed to the DA's office and they determine what is more important. As he drives around town he finds junk he wishes he could make go away. They are working on it, they realize it but it is difficult and frustrating. They went for years without a compliance officer.

Commissioner Bennett stated one of the solutions could be getting more staff. Maybe the people in Pahrump wouldn't mind paying more taxes to afford that.

Commissioner Blundo stated he appreciates that enforcement is getting done. There is a transitional period and given some more time we will see a cleanup of town. Moving forward out of Planning we need to do the best we can to be able to work with the people around it. The people in the audience complain about some many little issues, but if you see something but there is no complaint on the books, code compliance can't do anything about it. If anyone sees a problem, go to the office and submit a complaint to get the process started.

Commissioner Hafen stated there is a way to submit the complaint online so you don't have to go to the office.

Mr. Waggoner stated most everyone has a smart phone, take a picture of it and send it in.

Commissioner Hafen asked if there is a way to upload photos using the online compliant.

Commissioner Koenig stated he emails Amanda with the pictures.

Ms. VanHouten stated it can also be submitted to the general planning inbox at planning@co.nye.nv.us.

10. For possible action: Discussion, deliberation and possible direction to staff regarding the definition of "start of construction." (JE)

Joseph Ehrheart stated he has researched our entire code and in Title 15 the start of construction and substantial improvement was already defined. What they have tried to do is review what needs to be applied to start of construction that would pertain to our needs. They recommend they strike out substantial improvement totally out of Title 17, it only applies to FEMA.

Commissioner Hafen stated the best way to go is delete the substantial improvements and give direction to staff and the board that in the future when adding conditions of start of construction look at the project and give a determination at that time. Looking if there are different circumstances where start of construction is not pulling a building permit. They will have to be looked at on a case by case basis.

Public comment opened and closed at 6:42 pm with no comments.

Commissioner Adams stated adult uses in the definitions, maybe there is another title they can use for that.

Commissioner Hafen stated we aren't agendized for that, just for the start of construction portion. He can bring it up under item #15 if he wants it addressed.

Commissioner Lee motioned to recommend approval to the BOCC the start of construction text amendment striking the last sentence referring to substantial improvement from the definition of start of construction and removing entirely the definition of substantial improvement. Seconded by Commissioner Adams.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 6).

Yes: Beth Lee, Gary Bennett, Gregory T. Hafen II, Leah-Ann DeAnda, Leo Blundo, Robert Adams.

Excused: Derek Fowler.

11. For possible action: Discussion, deliberation and possible direction to staff regarding the permitting and construction of accessory buildings & structures prior to establishing the principal dwelling on residential parcels. (JE)

Mr. Ehrheart stated at the last meeting they would like agricultural uses added and adjacent property owner that had travel trailers added, this change reflects those additions. They have added definition of principal building, as in 17.04.110.

Public comment opened at 6:46 pm.

Kathy Mueller stated in regards to the property next door, it was graded last July. She objects to changing the existing permitting under NCC Title 17, specifically allowing accessory uses or structures without a permit for the primary residence. The situation is happening adjacent to her primary residence, there is no dust control and they erected sheds with no building permits. The dust issue is all the time. The intended use what they told everyone was for the storage of construction material. If they do this they will make Pahrump more of a dump than it already is. They have a burn pit and no water on the property. Every time its windy she has to pick up all the construction debris. If people are coming here and not planning to be a resident, for health and safety reason, with the dust and burning it is a fire hazard and a health hazard. If she is reading this right it says they can do this without a principal building permit.

Dawn Murphy stated she agrees with her that the construction site next door to her. But she doesn't agree to what is new here, she feels they are turning this into CC & R's and HOA's. She doesn't live here to be watched over. She bought 1 ¼ acre properties and she has placed things on her property with zoning approval prior to putting on her residence. By adding in all these new rules, it is taking the property owners rights away.

Public comment closed at 6:51 pm.

Commissioner Hafen stated for clarification on Ms. Mueller's comment. The change will be that it is unlawful to build an accessory structure on the lot without a main residence or principal structure. Addressing Ms. Murphy's comment, they will be allowing a temporary structure once the building permits are pulled. They are trying to find a happy medium so that they can have accessory structures and bring your building permit and materials to the property.

Commissioner Adams stated he has an interpretation in between both members of the publics comments. The fire department will take care of the burning of the trash. He stated they are asking that until a building permit is pulled you don't put anything on the property.

Commissioner Hafen stated he believes the confusion is in the title, where it shows the language that has been stricken.

Mr. Waggoner stated all the activities located on the property next door, there are no building permits and they have been issued a stop work order.

Commissioner Hafen motioned to recommend approval to the BOCC. Seconded by Commissioner Adams.

Note: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 6).

Yes: Beth Lee, Gary Bennett, Gregory T. Hafen II, Leah-Ann DeAnda, Leo Blundo, Robert Adams.

Excused: Derek Fowler.

12. For possible action: Discussion, deliberation and possible direction to staff regarding proposed standards for the placement of Manufactured Homes. (SO)

Steve Osborne reviewed the staff changes. It applies to all of Nye County and there are a few sections that are specific to the PRPD. On page 2, section 2 the bill is proposing within the PRPD in the smaller zoning districts, the RE and VR zoning district, it show what is under the NRS. MH not older than 6 years old, more than one section, more than 1,150 sq. ft. of living area and installed on a permanent foundation. Section 3, MH is larger lot sizes, the RH and RE-2, have the same requirements but MH can be 10 years old and homes older than 10 and up to 20 years old could be allowed if they are refurbished. There are requirements for outside the PRPD, but that doesn't apply to this board.

Commissioner Hafen stated he would like to go over the rest even though they have no jurisdiction over that section, they would just like to provide comments.

Mr. Osborne stated MH that are outside the PRPD would comply with the same NRS requirements unless, for example in Amargosa, if the ATB adopt their own guidelines then those would be the guidelines followed. This doesn't apply to MHP or subdivisions that have their own CC & R's that allow for different standards for manufactured homes.

Commissioner Blundo stated under # 2 & #3 it must consist of 1,150 sq. ft. of living area. Is this a standard size?

Mr. Osborne stated the NRS specifies the home must be 1,200 sq. ft. unless the governing body approves a lesser amount. He believes the 1,150 is the smallest MH that would have multiple sections.

Commissioner Hafen stated for clarification that we are trying to be more lenient than the NRS and it grants us the authority to be more lenient.

Gerald Schulte stated a little history behind NRS 278. There was senate bill 232 back in 1999, he was part of that. This law was created because in parts of Nevada there were a lot of older subdivisions that had owners wanting to put a manufactured home there. They can now comply with CC&R's by tiling the roof, and stucco. Clark County discriminated against MH and they lost. There is a lot of intent behind this that no one understands. Nye County never discriminated against MH. They can build a multi-section out of 900 Sq. Ft. He stated he is all for this and it will clean up the town, it will get rid of the house that were built for MHP, and it will help him sell homes. It should be down to 960 sq. ft, there are a lot of seniors that are on a budget. Nye County wants them to be converted because they want tax revenue. Not everyone can get a FHA, VA type mortgage that converts the home. Nye County needs to look at that in a different aspect.

Dwight Lilly stated there is no language that grandfather existing homes. If they are wanting to sell their investments does this mean they would have to comply with the requirements for instance putting a MH on a foundation. Many homes have decks built around them and they would have to tear them down to put them on a foundation. He would like to see language added to protect those existing owners. If they try to see it they could have someone from the County telling them they have to come into compliance and put it on a foundation. Some of these are 25 – 30 years old and are still livable, there is nothing wrong with them. If you leave the language the way it is, it gives owners no protection for what is existing.

Public comment closed at 7:08 pm.

Mr. Lacy stated the ordinance specifically states this is for new installation of MH. Existing homes that are currently installed are not affected by this ordinance. Clark County and California have restricted use of older MH. There are older MH's being sold cheap and we were one of the few jurisdictions where they would bring them because we didn't have these regulations in place.

Commissioner Hafen stated under the grandfathered section of the code and the development standards are uses existing prior to the code adoption are either conform or are illegally non-conforming. This is only applied to new.

Commissioner Koenig stated grandfathering is a non-issue. It is the same as a stick built house that was built 20 years ago and doesn't conform to the code today but is grandfathered in. There is nothing in the code that says grandfathered, but that is what the section of the code does. If Mr. Schulte can put together a 960 sq. ft. home he would recommend that if they adopt this they change that to be 960 feet so he doesn't have to change it later.

Commissioner Hafen asked if we have dimension of what 960 sq. ft is.

Commissioner Koenig stated half the house would be half of 960.

Commissioner Blundo asked if 960 is the most typical of the manufactured.

Mr. Schulte stated he could go smaller.

Commissioner Hafen stated yes.

Commissioner Adams stated primarily it is the lenders that tell you what needs to be done with the house. He doesn't know how you could go back to 1999 and approve everything that was built without permits. If it is there it is grandfathered.

Commissioner Hafen stated section 8 on page 3, they are just providing comments, because it is outside the PRPD. It talks about not needing an engineered pad design or a soils report, he isn't sure how the soils are outside the PRPD and has a problem recommending it for approval without an expert. He has concerns with section 8 and would like those comments passed to the BOCC.

Commissioner Koenig stated the comment is noted.

Commissioner Hafen stated another question he has is a section that talks about a permanent foundation in the PRPD. He asked for clarification that they aren't waiving anything but they want the structural walls and back fill then converted to real property.

Mr. Lacy stated that is correct.

Commissioner Hafen stated this is a whole new section, nothing is being deleted from County Code and they are trying to be more lenient than the state law allows. There was a suggestion to bring it down to 960 sq. ft. if that is the desire of the board.

Commissioner Adams motioned to recommend approval to the BOCC 17.04.820 as written with amendments of the minimum size of the home being 960 sq. ft. Seconded by Commissioner DeAnda.

Commissioner Hafen asked for clarification on his motion that his making recommendation of the sections 1 – 3 and providing comments for the sections outside the PRPD.

Commissioner Adams stated not having authority outside the PRPD they are making individual comments on the section.

Commissioner Blundo asked for clarification that the 960 sq. ft. would be reflected in section 2.e and 3.b.

Commissioner Adams stated yes.

Note: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 6).

Yes: Beth Lee, Gary Bennett, Gregory T. Hafen II, Leah-Ann DeAnda, Leo Blundo, Robert Adams.

Excused: Derek Fowler.

13. Overview of the proposed concept of a Development Design Corridor. (Public Works)

Cody McKee stated he provided a display of the Development Design Corridor.

Commissioner Hafen asked where is the proposed design corridor. It shows from Bell Vista to the County line.

Mr. McKee stated yes, Bell Vista on the North end and Mages on the South end and on Hwy 372 from the intersection at 160 down to Linda and the intersection at Charleston Park where it terminates.

Commissioner Hafen asked for clarification that this is just being discussed, but the discussion is that limited waivers or no waivers from the code in this section or adjoining the highway or a distance out.

Mr. McKee stated as of now it is properties fronting these areas.

Commissioner Hafen asked if it includes properties that front a frontage road as well.

Mr. McKee stated he believes so, yes.

Commissioner Hafen asked for clarification that it is for no waivers, limited waivers or up for discussion.

Mr. McKee stated that would still be up for discussion. It is their recommendation that stick with an urban standard within the design corridor, which is curb, gutter, sidewalk and urban would be outside of that with gravel, road side ditches.

Mr. Lacy stated what is driving this is that we changed the code to include a category II development review which gives opportunity for waivers from category 1 requirements, is essentially what they are calling urban standards. Within the development corridor is no or limited waivers allowed outside of that. Category 2 doesn't mean all of those would be rural standards but we have the ability to look at the community and how it is developing.

Commissioner Hafen stated there are occasions where property owners on Hwy 160 it is hard to meet standards and they have come before them for waiver, are we stating no waivers period.

Mr. Lacy stated waivers can always come to the PRPC and the BOCC. This definition is going to staff for site development review process and if they don't agree with staff they can request a waiver.

Commissioner Hafen asked what the thicker red line is on the document. Is it trying to encompass the frontage road?

Mr. McKee stated he can look further into that. It could be the width of the ROW, or tracing property lines in the area which isn't always consistent.

Commissioner Blundo asked if we are giving staff direction or are we saying no waivers period.

Commissioner Hafen stated staff is in the process of designing this corridor and this is the area they are presenting to us today for the design corridor. It will either have no waivers or limited waivers from county code but on the outskirts away from the highway corridor more waivers could be granted.

Commissioner Hafen asked Mr. McKee when language will be coming to them before it goes to the BOCC.

Mr. McKee stated this is just a guide to staff, it isn't anything that will be codified.

Mr. Lacy stated when they adopted the Category 2 site development it gave staff the authority to do things with less intensity. Then we had people on Hwy 160 wanting those things.

Commissioner Hafen asked if would help they adopted the design corridor that states these are not eligible for category 2, or direction to staff.

Mr. Lacy stated direction to staff telling us what the appropriate guidance and standards need to be applied to site development reviews.

Commissioner Koenig stated it should be a guideline for the PRPC when people come to them asking for things.

Commissioner Hafen stated we are due in 2019 for a five-year update to the Master Plan and it might be helpful to incorporate this in there as a guiding document.

14. **For possible action:** Discussion, deliberation and possible direction to staff regarding proposed changes to the Sign Regulations. (CB)

Mr. Waggoner stated they need to pull this item, they aren't prepared to discuss this today.

15. **Future Meetings/Workshops: Discussion and Direction Concerning Items for Future Meetings/Workshops; Set Date, Location and Time.**

Commissioner Hafen stated he would like item #14 back at a future meeting, he would like the sign companies to give their input on any changes we are proposing or propose some of their own. The next meeting is March 14th at 6:00 pm.

Commissioner Blundo would like a recap of what has been done with tagging the signs and how many have been tagged, how many removed and what has been done. He has some questions but will wait until it is brought back.

Commissioner Blundo asked Commissioner Adams if he wanted to bring back the item he discuss


Public Comment:


16. **Public Comment (second)** – No action will be taken on matters raised under public comment until the matter itself has been included on an agenda as an action item. (Three-minute time limit per person and speakers are requested to limit comments to items not on the agenda.)

Public comment opened and closed at 7:41 pm with no comments.

17. **Adjournment.**

Meeting adjourned at 7:41 pm.


Gregory T. Hafen, II, Chairman
Pahrump Regional Planning Commission


Leah-Ann DeAnda, Secretary/Clerk
Pahrump Regional Planning Commission